



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,158	03/05/2002	Evan F. Wies	IMM062C	1658
34300	7590	10/15/2010		
PATENT DEPARTMENT (51851)			EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP			BIAGINI, CHRISTOPHER D	
1001 WEST FOURTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/092,158	WIES ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher D. Biagini	Art Unit 2445	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher D. Biagini. (3) _____.
 (2) Carl Sanders (Reg. No. 57,203). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 October 2010**Time:** 3:00 PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***Potential rejections under obviousness-type double patenting***Claims discussed:**

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/HASSAN PHILLIPS/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Sanders to propose that he obviate a double-patenting issue with respect to several issued patents by filing a terminal disclaimer. Mr. Sanders was unable to obtain approval for the terminal disclaimer from a representative for the assignee of this application within the necessary time frame. I contacted Mr. Sanders again on October 8, 2010 to inform him that an action would be mailed with the rejections we had discussed.